Errata: The following corrections should be made in the 1960 MNL.

Page 99 bottom—line 2: 9 lines, instead of 8 lines
Lines 6 and 7: X-ray machine operated at 120KV, 3mA, 1mm. Al filter, instead of 230KV, 12 mA, 1mm. Al filter.
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Dr. H. Carnahan, former Head, Northeastern Pasture Research Laboratory, USDA, at this Station compared diploid and autotetraploid hybrid prediction procedure in the 17th Alfalfa Improvement Conference: 19-22, 1960. He suggested that in combining diploid single crosses into double crosses the following would be true:

Differences:
Double cross vs. Mean of non-parental single crosses = Epistasis
Mean of parental single crosses vs. Mean of non-parental single crosses = Dominance

In our discussion it was further developed:

Differences:
Double cross vs. Mean of parental single crosses = Non-Additivity

No Differences:
Double cross vs. Mean of parental single crosses = Additivity or Cancelling Effects or Lack of Precision.

A single location, single year split plot test using this technique was planted and harvested at Centre Hall, Pa. in 1960 using early maturing, commercially useful inbred line combinations on hand. The lines involved in the 21 double crosses were: A 495, A 509, CMD 5, MS 1334, Pa 32, Pa 36, PaW 703, R 53, W 37 A, and W 59M. These 10 inbred lines are essentially unrelated, although M 13 is in the background of Pa 32, R 53, and W 59M. No reduction in vigor has been noted in crosses of these lines in this state.

Silking and strong stalks at harvest (resisting a push) were recorded as number of plants (total = 16 per plot) on an appropriate day. Yield was calculated as 56 pound bushels of 15 1/2 percent moisture shelled corn, disregarding possible differences in shelling percentage.